

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9 MCLAINE DENISS, et al., ) 3:15-cv-00190-RCJ-WGC  
10 Plaintiffs, )  
11 vs. ) **ORDER**  
12 INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, et.al., )  
13 Defendants. )

15 A "Petition to Quash Summons" has been filed in this court by "McLain Deniss, Petitioner, a  
16 managing member of Everest Quality Construction, Ltd., Petitioners." (Doc. # 1.<sup>1</sup>) The petition appears  
17 to pertain to two summonses issued by the Internal Revenue Service to Dennis McLaine (Exhibit D, Doc.  
18 # 1 at 98) and to Nevada State Bank (Exhibit E, *id.*, at 99).<sup>2</sup>

19 The petition was submitted by “Mcclaine Deniss” Petitioner, a managing member of “Everest  
20 Quality Construction Ltd” and by “Everest Quality Construction, *pro se*, a Limited Liability Company  
21 incorporated in this free State Republic of Nevada” (herein “Everest”). (Signature page, *id.*, at 34.)  
22 The Mcclaine Deniss name is additionally utilized on the civil case cover sheet (*id.*, at 116). However,  
23 “Mcclaine Deniss” also appears in the filings as “Deniss Mcclaine” (*id.*, at 2, 8, 9, 71 and on the “footer”  
24 appearing on each page of the filing.)<sup>3</sup>

25 The court does not understand the rationale for the two different names utilized in the filing;

<sup>1</sup> Refers to court's docket number.

<sup>2</sup> The “Attachment to Summons” referred to in the Summons did not accompany the filing.

<sup>3</sup> The court takes judicial notice of the registration of Everest Quality Construction, Ltd., naming its resident agent as “Dennis McLaine” and its managing member as “Deniss McLaine.” Nevada Secretary of State website, “Nevada Business Search,” <http://nvsos.gov/sosentitysearch/CorpDetails.aspx>.

1 nevertheless, the court will henceforth refer to petitioner as "Mcclaine Deniss."

2 It is also difficult to ascertain whether Mcclaine Deniss is appearing just for Everest or for both  
 3 Everest and himself. The court, however, liberally construing the Petition, interprets the Petition as  
 4 asserting claims for both Mcclaine Deniss and Everest. This determination is consistent with the  
 5 Petition's identification of the "Parties" in ¶ II, p. 3 of 115.

6 The court notes, however, that nowhere in the Petition does it appear that Mcclaine Deniss signed  
 7 the petition as is required under Fed. R. Civ. P. 11. On or before **April 30, 2015**, Mcclaine Deniss shall  
 8 submit a signature page for the Petition which is signed by him and provides the signer's address, email  
 9 address and telephone number. If Mcclaine Deniss does not provide the Rule 11-mandated signature  
 10 page, this court will recommend the Petition be dismissed as to Mcclaine Deniss.

11 Although Mcclaine Deniss may be managing member of Everest, because Everest is a limited  
 12 liability company organized as such under the laws of the State of Nevada, Everest requires  
 13 representation by counsel to be able to proceed in this action. Mcclaine Deniss may not appear in federal  
 14 court for or on behalf of Everest. A party may not proceed without counsel on behalf of artificial entities.  
 15 28 U.S.C. § 1654. "Corporations and other unincorporated associations must appear in court through  
 16 an attorney." *In re America W. Airlines*, 40 F.3d 1058, 1059 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1994) (per curiam) (citations  
 17 omitted). This rule "prohibits *pro se* plaintiffs from pursuing claims on behalf of others in a  
 18 representative capacity." *Simon v. Hartford Life, Inc.*, 546 F.3d 661, 664 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2008); *see also C.E.*  
 19 *Pope Equity Trust v. United States*, 818 F.2d 696, 697 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1987) (trustee may not appear *pro se*  
 20 because he is not the person who by substantive law has the right sought to be enforced).

21 Therefore, Everest can, therefore, only continue as a party in this action if represented by an  
 22 attorney admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada; Everest  
 23 cannot be represented by Mcclaine Deniss. Everest will be allowed until **April 30, 2015**, to secure counsel  
 24 to represent Everest. If Everest does not obtain counsel by that date, this court will recommend the  
 25 Petition be dismissed as to Defendant Everest Quality Construction, LLC.

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 DATED: April 3, 2015.

  
 WILLIAM G. COBB  
 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE